



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,241	10/03/2003	Hideyuki Sakaida	Q77625	9108
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 08/03/2007 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SMITH, JEFFREY S	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·	•	2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/677,241	SAKAIDA, HIDEYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey S. Smith	2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>26 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) a places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 time periods:	in amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which ith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection	n.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or ( no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MON	<ol> <li>the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In THS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petitic have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	responding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CI filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time p</li> </ol>	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the data (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/o (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appearappeal; and/or	al by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nu	ımber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached	Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submit  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be en how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or at The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reaso was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appendice of the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejeashowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earn.	ctions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT plac	e the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Page 12.	per No(st)
13. Other:	
	MINIONE WILL
eunen	JINGGE WU

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims require further search, evaluation of 112, and application of prior art to the claims.